arXiv:1203.0946v1 [math.OC] 5 Mar 2012

LINEARIZATION FUNCTORS ON REAL CONVEX SETS

MAURICIO VELASCO

Abstract. We prove that linearizing certain families of polynomial optimization problems leads to new functorial operations in real convex sets. We show that under some conditions these operations can be computed or approximated in ways amenable to efficient computation. These operations are convex analogues of Hom functors, tensor products, symmetric powers, exterior powers and general Schur functors on vector spaces and lead to novel constructions even for polyhedra.

1. Introduction

Convex polynomial optimization is concerned with the problem of determining the maximum value of a real polynomial function f on a real convex set C. In the special case when the polynomial is linear and the convex set is an SDR set (i.e. a projection of a spectrahedron) this problem can be solved numerically very efficiently, in polynomial time on the length of the description of C (see for instance [4]).

In this context it is natural to ask whether we can *linearize* arbitrary polynomial optimization problems, that is, whether we can construct a *linear* function $F(f)$ and a convex domain $F(C)$ with $\max_{x\in C} f(x) = \max_{y\in F(C)} F(f)(y)$. The main result of this article is to answer this question affirmatively for various classes of non-linear problems. To do so we introduce several *linearization functors* on real convex sets. These operations give us a procedure to build the functions $F(f)$ as well as the new convex domains $F(C)$.

The scope of this method depends on whether the sets $F(C)$ admit descriptions amenable to efficient computation. The general problem of determining whether a convex semialgebraic set is SDR has received considerable attention because of its importance for computation but also because of results suggesting the validity of a striking conjecture of Helton and Nie [3] stating that every semialgebraic convex set is in fact SDR. In our case, if F is a functor on convex cones and C satisfies certain hypotheses we are able to either compute $F(C)$ explicitly or to provide effectively computable SDR supersets $S(C) \supseteq F(C)$ close to $F(C)$ in a suitable sense.

As in the category of vector spaces the objects which linearize certain families of maps are most clearly understood in terms of "universal properties". To this end

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 52A27 Secondary 90C25.

Key words and phrases. Linearization functors, Spectrahedra, SDR sets.

we introduce the category of almost-compact cones and categories of relative cones over $\mathbb{R}_+$. We show that our linearization functors can be understood as solutions to universal problems in these categories. In particular the category of almost compact cones is closed under our operations. For linearization functors F on convex cones functoriality is the key element in the effective computation of the objects $F(C)$ and $S(C) \supseteq F(C)$ alluded to earlier. This categorical point of view extends the approach pioneered by Ziegler [6, § 9.4] and Bogart, Contois, Gubeladze [1] from polyhedral cones to general convex cones. In particular, our results extend the results of [1] on tensor products and Hom functors.

In more detail, the contributions and organization of this article can be summarized as follows:

1. We introduce the categories of almost compact convex cones and of relative cones over $\mathbb{R}_+$ (§3) and define Hom functors (§4.1), Tensor products (§4.2) and Symmetric power and Pure power operations (§4.3). Moreover, for each partition λ we introduce a Schur functor $\mathbb{S}_\lambda$ (§4.4) in the category of relative cones over $\mathbb{R}_+$.
2. We show that these functors arise as solutions of linearization problems (Theorems 4.9, 4.14 and 4.15) and thus give us a new approach to several nonlinear polynomial optimization problems.
3. For functors on cones we either provide an explicit description (see Theorem 4.8 and 4.13) or a suitably tight approximation using spectrahedra or SDR sets (see Theorems 4.12 and 4.17).

   A special case deserves further consideration. If the underlying cones are spectrahedral or have some additional structure (see Lemma 4.5 for precise statements) the computation of linearization functors can be reduced to their application to spaces of cones of PSD matrices. To study these spaces we introduce the notion of "block-positive definiteness". This concept defines a class of real convex sets generalizing spectrahedra of interest in its own right. Using this class we are able to give a complete description of $\mathrm{Hom}_C(S_+^n, S_+^m)$ and $S_n^+ \otimes S_m^+$ (see Theorems 4.8 and 4.13) and to clarify their relationship with multigraded positive polynomials.

Finally, the notation used throughout the paper and preliminaries on convex geometry and Schur functors can be found in Section 2.

Acknowledgements. We thank Tristram Bogart, César Galindo and Mauricio Junca for helpful conversations during the completion of this work.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\mathbb{R}_+$ be the set of nonnegative real numbers and let E be a finite dimensional real vector space. If $S \subseteq E$ let LS be the vector subspace spanned by S. Define $\dim(S) := dim_{\mathbb{R}}(LS)$. We let $E^* := \mathrm{Hom}(E, \mathbb{R})$ be the vector space dual of E. A linear map $f : E \to E^*$ is called symmetric if $f(\alpha, \beta) = f(\beta, \alpha)$ for every $\alpha, \beta \in E$. f is called positive semidefinite PSD (resp. definite) if $f(\alpha, \alpha) \geq 0$ ($f(\alpha, \alpha) > 0$) for all $\alpha \in E$. Recall that a linear map $f : E \to E^*$ is PSD iff it admits a *Cholesky factorization*, that is if there exists a vector space V, a symmetric positive definite identification (i.e. an inner product on V) $\phi : V \to V^*$ and a linear map $h : E \to V$ such that $f = h^* \circ \phi \circ h$.

2.1. **Convex Geometry.**

Definition 1. *A set $C \subseteq E$ is a convex cone if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_1, \dots, x_n \in C$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}^+$ we have $\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n \in C$. If $S \subset E$ then the cone generated by S, denoted $\operatorname{Cone}(S)$ is the intersection of all convex cones in E containing B. Equivalently $\operatorname{Cone}(S) := \{\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}_+, x_i \in S\}$.*

Definition 2. *A grading of a convex cone $C \subseteq E$ is a linear map $g : E \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $g(C) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$ and with $\ker(g) \cap C = \{0\}$. A convex cone is pointed if it does not contain any vector subspace. It is immediate that every graded convex cone is pointed.*

The convex cones of most interest to us are the closely related spectrahedral and SDR cones which we define next.

Definition 3. *$C \subseteq E$ is a spectrahedral cone if there exist real symmetric matrices $A_1, \dots A_n$ such that*

$$C = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E : x_1 A_1 + \dots + x_n A_n \succeq 0\}.$$

The representation of a spectrahedral cone determines a map $\psi : E \to \operatorname{Hom}(W, W^*)$. Here W is a vector space, the $A_i : W \to W^*$ are symmetric maps and $\psi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 A_1 + \dots + x_n A_n$. Henceforth we call ψ the defining inequalities of C. A cone $C' \subseteq V$ of a vector space V is an SDR-cone (semidefinitely representable cone) if there exists a linear projection $\pi : E \to V$ and a spectrahedral cone $C \subseteq E$ such that $\pi(C) = C'$.

2.2. **Schur functors.** This section contains a brief review of the construction of Schur functors. See [2, Chapter 8] for an extended treatment.

A partition λ of an integer n is a nonincreasing sequence of natural numbers $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ with $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots = n$. To a partition λ we can associate a *Ferrers diagram of shape* λ, that is a collection of left-justified rows of identical boxes with lengths $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$. To a vector space V we associate the infinite dimensional vector space $A_\lambda(V)$ whose basis is the set of Ferrers diagrams of shape λ with boxes filled by elements of V (henceforth called tableaux). We define the subspace $Q_\lambda(V) \subseteq A_\lambda(V)$ of λ-exchange axioms generated by:

1. Elements of the form $T_1 + \lambda T_2 - T_3$ when T_1, T_2 and T_3 agree in all boxes except for one and the entries v_1, v_2, v_3 in that box in each of the tableaux satisfy $v_1 + \lambda v_2 = v_3$.
2. Elements of the form $T + T'$ when T' is obtained from T by exchanging the contents of two boxes in the same column.

(3) For every tableau T, every two columns c_1 and c_2 and every subset S of boxes in the second column the vector

$$T_1 + \cdots + T_k - T$$

where the T_i are obtained from T by exchanging $|S|$ boxes in column c_1 with the boxes in S while keeping the order of the entries in the column.

Definition 4. *The Schur functor $\mathbb{S}_\lambda$ assigns to every vector space V the vector space $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(V) := A_\lambda(V)/Q_\lambda(V)$ and to every linear map $T : V \to W$ the linear map $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(T) : \mathbb{S}_\lambda(V) \to \mathbb{S}_\lambda(W)$ which maps the class of a tableau filled with elements of V to the class of the tableau filled by their images under T. Finally let $h_\lambda : V^{\oplus n} \to \mathbb{S}_\lambda(V)$ be the map sending $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ to the class of the tableau filled by the v_i (from left to right and top to bottom).*

Remark 2.1. *The classes in $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(V)$ of tableaux filled by the elements of V are multilinear in the entries, alternating in the columns and satisfy the equality $[T] = [T_1] + \cdots + [T_k]$ for λ-exchanges. In particular if $e_1, \dots, e_m$ are a basis for V then $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(V)$ is generated by classes of tableaux with entries in $e_1, \dots, e_m$. Moreover, it can be shown (see* [2, Chapter 8]*) that a basis for $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(V)$ is given by the set of semistandard tableaux, that is, tableaux whose entries are the e_i with indices strictly increasing along the columns and weakly increasing along the rows.*

It is immediate from the above definition of $(\mathbb{S}_\lambda(V), h_\lambda)$ that h_λ satisfies the λ-exchange axioms and that every multilinear map$g : V^{\oplus n} \to W$ alternating on the columns which satisfies the λ-exchange axioms is uniquely determined by a linear map $G : \mathbb{S}_\lambda(V) \to W$ satisfying $G \circ h_\lambda = g$. This universal property specifies the pair $(\mathbb{S}_\lambda(V), h_\lambda)$ up to canonical isomorphism.

Remark 2.2. *When $\lambda = (n, 0, 0, \dots)$ the Schur functor $\mathbb{S}_\lambda$ coincides with the n-th symmetric power. When $\lambda = (1, 1, \dots, 1, 0, 0 \dots)$ (n ones) the Schur functor $\mathbb{S}_\lambda$ is the n-th exterior power. Schur functors are thus a common generalization of symmetric and exterior powers.*

3. Categories of real convex cones.

Our main object of study is the category of almost compact cones, henceforth **ac-cones** which we now define. Its objects are pointed, closed, full-dimensional convex cones C in finite-dimensional real vector spaces. The morphisms $\mathrm{Hom}_C(C_1, C_2)$ between two such cones C_1 and C_2 are linear maps f between their ambient vector spaces satisfying $f(C_1) \subseteq C_2$.

A distinguished cone in this category is $\mathbb{R}_+ \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ which allows us to define the *dual* C^* of a cone C as $C^* := \mathrm{Hom}_C(C, \mathbb{R}_+)$. It is an immediate consequence of the Banach hyperplane separation theorem that $C^* \subset (LC)^*$ is an ac-cone and that $C^{**} = C$ (via the canonical isomorphism which identifies a vector space with its double dual). Moreover, any point g in the interior of C^* determines a grading $g : C \to \mathbb{R}_+$ and every such grading has a section $s : \mathbb{R}_+ \to C$ with $g \circ s = id$ and $s(1) \in C^\circ$. Another important instance of an almost compact cone is the cone S^n_+ of $n \times n$ symmetric PSD matrices. This cone is graded by the trace map.

The terminology almost-compact cones is motivated by the following

Lemma 3.1. *Let C be an ac-cone and let $\pi : C \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be any grading. Then*

1. *The set $P := \pi^{-1}(1) \cap C$ is compact.*
2. *$C = \mathrm{Cone}(P)$*

Proof. By continuity of π and closedness of C the set P is closed. If $(x_n)_n$ is a sequence of points in P with $\lim_{n\to\infty} |x_n| = \infty$ then the normalized sequence $\frac{x_n}{|x_n|}$ is contained in the set $C \cap S$ where S is the unit sphere. By compactness of this set there is a convergent subsequence $\frac{x_{r_n}}{|x_{r_n}|} \to y \in C \cap S$. Now $y \neq 0$ and $\pi(y) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \pi\left(\frac{x_{r_n}}{|x_{r_n}|}\right) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|x_{r_n}|} = 0$ contraddicting the fact that π is a grading. The second statement is immediate. □

The constructions introduced in this article can be carried out on all convex sets but can be understood more clearly from the point of view of cones, because the inequalities defining a cone are morphisms of our category. To be able to think of compact convex sets as cones (see Theorem 3.2) we define the following two additional categories:

- The category of **graded cones over** $\mathbb{R}_+$. Its objects are pairs (C, π) where C is an ac-cone and $\pi \in \mathrm{Hom}_C(C, \mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfies $\ker(\pi) \cap C = \{0\}$. The morphisms between (C_1, π_1) and (C_2, π_2) are the elements f in $\mathrm{Hom}_C(C_1, C_2)$ which satisfy $\pi_2 \circ f = \pi_1$ making the triangle commute.
- The category of **marked graded cones over** $\mathbb{R}_+$. Its objects are triples (C, π, s) where (C, π) is a graded cone over $\mathbb{R}_+$ and $s \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{R}_+, C)$ is a section of π (i.e. $\pi \circ s = id$) with $s((0,\infty)) \subseteq C^\circ$. The morphisms between (C_1, π_1, s_1) and (C_2, π_2, s_2) are the elements f in $\mathrm{Hom}_C(C_1, C_2)$ which satisfy $\pi_2 \circ f = \pi_1$ and $f \circ s_1 = s_2$ making the two triangles commute.

Theorem 3.2. *The following pairs of categories are equivalent:*

1. *Graded cones over $\mathbb{R}_+$ and the category of full-dimensional compact convex subsets of an affine space with morphisms given by restrictions of affine linear maps.*
2. *Marked graded cones over $\mathbb{R}_+$ and the category of full-dimensional compact convex sets with 0 in their interior and morphisms given by restrictions of linear maps.*

Proof. (1.) The map sending (C, π) to $C \cap \pi^{-1}(1) \subseteq \pi^{-1}(1)$ is a functor from graded cones over $\mathbb{R}^+$ to full-dimensional compact convex subsets of affine spaces. If we choose a decomposition of the form $\ker(\pi_i) \oplus \langle z_i \rangle$ with $\pi_i(z_i) = 1$ then every morphism

$f : (C_1, \pi_1) \to (C_2, \pi_2)$ of graded cones over $\mathbb{R}_+$ can be written in an upper triangular block matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} B & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Bx + b \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

it follows that morphisms of graded cones go to affine linear maps and moreover that this correspondence is bijective. On the other hand if $P \subseteq A$ is a compact convex subset of some affine space A, choosing a point $p \in A$ we can make A into a vector space and define the pair (C, π) via $C := \mathrm{Cone}(\{(p, 1) \in A \oplus \mathbb{R} : p \in P\})$ and π given by projection to the last component. Clearly the image of C under the construction in the previous paragraph is affinely isomorphic to P and thus we have an equivalence of categories. (2.) The equivalence is similar. The section $s : \mathbb{R}_+ \to C$ determines via $s(1)$ a distinguished point in the affine subspace $\pi^{-1}(1)$ endowing it with the structure of a vector space for which $C \cap \pi^{-1}(1)$ contains 0 in its interior. On the other hand, if 0 is an interior point $P \subseteq E$ then the section $s(t) = t(0, 1)$ makes (C, π, s) into a marked graded cone over $\mathbb{R}_+$. $\square$

The explicit equivalences provided in the proof of the previous Theorem tell us how to translate any functorial construction on cones over $\mathbb{R}_+$ to a functorial construction on compact convex sets.

Remark 3.3. *The category of graded polyhedral cones over* $\mathbb{R}_+$ *is defined in* [1] *where the authors show that Theorem 3.2 holds in the polyhedral context.*

4. FUNCTORS ON REAL CONVEX SETS.

In this section we define several linearization operations on convex sets. Our main contribution is to interpret them as solutions to universal problems and to analyze how they behave when applied to spectrahedral and SDR cones. More concretely, in this case we prove the existence of SDR upper bounds (i.e. explicitly computable supersets) for our functors which are close to the desired convex sets in a suitable sense.

4.1. **Hom functors.**

Definition 5. *If C and B are cones then* $\mathrm{Hom}_C(C, B) \subseteq \mathrm{Hom}(LC, LB)$ *is a convex cone. We define the functors* $\mathrm{Hom}_C(-, B)$ *and* $\mathrm{Hom}_C(A, -)$ *from cones to cones by mapping objects to their sets of homomorphisms of cones and morphisms f as in the category of vector spaces, that is to pre-composition with f, denoted f^*, and post-composition with f, denoted $\hat{f}$ respectively.*

Lemma 4.1. *The following statements hold:*

1. *If A and B are ac-cones then* $\mathrm{Hom}_C(A, B)$ *is an ac-cone. Moreover* $\mathrm{Hom}_C(-, B)$ *(resp.* $\mathrm{Hom}_C(A, -)$*) is a contravariant (resp. covariant) functor in the category of ac-cones.*

(2) *If* (A, s_A) *is a marked (resp.* (A, π_A) *is a graded) cone over* $\mathbb{R}_+$ *and* (B, π_B) *is a graded (resp.* (B, s_B) *is a marked) cone over* $\mathbb{R}_+$ *then* $\big(\operatorname{Hom}_C(A, B), \pi_B \circ s_A^*\big)$ *is a graded (resp.* $\big(\operatorname{Hom}_C(A, B), \pi_A^* \circ s_B\big)$ *is a marked) cone over* $\operatorname{Hom}_C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+) \cong \mathbb{R}_+$.

(3) *If* (A, π_A, s_A) *and* (B, π_B, s_B) *are marked graded cones over* $\mathbb{R}_+$ *then* $\operatorname{Hom}_C(-, B)$ *and* $\operatorname{Hom}_C(A, -)$ *are functors on marked graded cones.*

Proof. (1.) Since $\pi_i^{-1}(1) \cap C_i$ is compact, convex and full dimensional there are simplices contained in and containing this set. The cones over these simplices define simplicial ac-cones $s_i \subseteq C_i \subseteq S_i$. Hence

$$\operatorname{Hom}_C(S_1, s_2) \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_C(C_1, C_2) \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_C(s_1, S_2)$$

it follows that $\operatorname{Hom}_C(C_1, C_2)$ is full-dimensional and pointed. Closedness is immediate because C_2 is closed. The stated functorialities follow immediately from the analogous properties on vector spaces. (2) Assume $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_C(A, B)$ satisfies $\pi_B \circ s_A^* \circ f = 0$. Let D be an open ball around the origin in LA with $s_A(1) + D \subseteq A$. Since $D = -D$ we have $\pi_B(f(s_A(1) + D)) = \pi_A(f(D)) = -\pi_A(f(D)) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$ so $f(D) = 0$ since π_A is a grading and thus $f = 0$ identically. It follows that $\pi_A \circ s_B^*$ is a grading as claimed. By part (2) of Lemma 4.9 we have $\operatorname{Hom}_C(A, B)^* = B^* \otimes A \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_C(B, A)$ so to show that $\pi_A^* \circ s_B(1)$ is an interior point of $\operatorname{Hom}_C(A, B)$ it suffices to show that for every map of the form $b^* \otimes c$ with $b^* \in B^*$ and $c \in C$ the trace of the map sending $w \to b^* \otimes c(s_B(\pi_A(w)))$ is positive. Taking a basis of LA consisting of c and a basis for the kernel of $s_B \circ \pi_A$ the matrix of the map is diagonal with diagonal entries $b^*(s_B(\pi_A(c)) > 0$ and zeroes hence the statement holds. (3) follows from parts (1) and (2). □

Next we address the problem of computing Hom functors. To this end we introduce the following definitions,

Definition 6. *Let* C_1 *and* C_2 *be ac-cones. A morphism* $f : C_1 \to C_2$ *is strongly injective if it is injective and* $f^{-1}(C_2) = C_1$ *(here* f^{-1} *denotes the inverse image of the map* $f : LC_1 \to LC_2$*). A retraction of* $g : C_1 \to C_2$ *is a morphism* $r : C_2 \to C_1$ *with* $r \circ g = id$*. If* g *admits a retraction then* g *is strongly injective.*

Definition 7. *An ac-cone* D *is called dualizing if for every ac-cone* B *the equality* $\operatorname{Hom}_C(B, D)^* = \operatorname{Hom}_C(D, B)$ *holds. It is immediate that a pointed polyhedral cone spanned by a basis of any vector space is dualizing (in particular the cone* $\mathbb{R}_+$ *is dualizing).*

Lemma 4.2. *The following statements hold:*

(1) *Let* $f : C_1 \to C_2$ *be strongly injective.*
   (a) *For every ac-cone* A *the induced map* $\hat{f} : \operatorname{Hom}_C(A, C_1) \to \operatorname{Hom}_C(A, C_2)$ *is strongly injective.*
   (b) *If* D *is dualizing then* $f^* : \operatorname{Hom}_C(C_2, D) \to \operatorname{Hom}_C(C_1, D)$ *has dense image.*

(c) If f admits a retraction then for every cone D the map $f^* : \mathrm{Hom}_C(C_2, D) \to \mathrm{Hom}_C(C_1, D)$ has dense image.

(2) If $\pi : C_1 \to C_2$ has dense image then for every ac-cone B the map $\pi^* : \mathrm{Hom}_C(C_2, B) \to \mathrm{Hom}_C(C_1, B)$ is strongly injective.

Proof. (1a) $\hat{f}$ is injective since f is. If $r \in \mathrm{Hom}(LA, LC_1)$ satisfies $\hat{f}(r) = g \in \mathrm{Hom}_C(A, C_2)$ then for every $a \in A$ we have $f(r(a)) = g(a) \in C_2$ so $r(a) \in C_1$ since f is strongly injective proving the claim. Next, by functoriality of $\mathrm{Hom}_C(-, D)$ for every cone D we have $f^*(\mathrm{Hom}_C(C_2, D)) \subseteq \mathrm{Hom}_C(C_1, D)$. Now let $\delta \in \mathrm{Hom}(LC_1, LD)^* = \mathrm{Hom}(LD, LC_1)$ be nonnegative in $f^*(\mathrm{Hom}_C(C_2, D))$ so $f \circ \delta \in \mathrm{Hom}_C(C_2, D)^*$. We will show that under the hypotheses of parts (1*b*) or (1*c*) this implies that $\delta \in \mathrm{Hom}_C(C_1, D)^*$ showing that $f^*(\mathrm{Hom}_C(C_2, D))$ is dense in $\mathrm{Hom}_C(C_1, D)$ as claimed. If D is dualizing then $f \circ \delta \in \mathrm{Hom}(D, C_2)$ so by part (1a) $\delta \in \mathrm{Hom}_C(D, C_1) = \mathrm{Hom}_C(C_1, D)^*$ proving (1b). In general, by theorem 4.9 $f \circ \delta \in \mathrm{Hom}(C_2, D)^* = \overline{D^* \otimes C_2}$ so if f admits a retraction r then composing with $id \otimes r$ we see that $\delta \in \overline{D^* \otimes C_1} = \mathrm{Hom}(C_1, D)^*$ proving (1c). (2) Recall that two continuous functions which agree on a dense subset of a topological space must be identical. It follows that π^* is injective. If $h \in \mathrm{Hom}(LC_2, LB)$ satisfies $\pi^*(h)$ then $h(\pi(C_1)) \subseteq B$ and thus, by continutiy of h, $h\big(\overline{\pi(C_1)}\big) \subseteq \overline{h(\pi(C_1))} \subseteq B$. As a result $h(C_2) \subseteq B$ and the map π^* is strongly injective as claimed. □

Remark 4.3. *If $f : C_1 \to C_2$ is strongly injective and for every cone D the map $f^* : \mathrm{Hom}(C_1, D) \to \mathrm{Hom}(C_2, D)$ is surjective then setting $D = C_2$ and choosing r as any element in the inverse image of the identity we obtain a retraction for f so the converse of (1c) is "almost" true.*

Remark 4.4. *Setting $D = \mathbb{R}_+$ in Lemma 4.2 part (1b) implies that the dual of a spectrahedron A is an SDR set. It can be obtained as a projection of a spectrahedron by dualizing the defining map $\psi : A \to S^n_+$. This is a Theorem of Ramana and Goldman* [5].

The previous Lemma allows us to reduce the computation of Hom spaces to that of Homs of PSD matrices $\mathrm{Hom}_C(S^n_+, S^m_+)$ in several cases:

Lemma 4.5. *If B is a spectrahedral ac-cone and A is dual to a spectrahedral ac-cone then $\mathrm{Hom}_C(A, B)$ is the inverse image under a linear injective map of the cone $\mathrm{Hom}_C(S^n_+, S^m_+)$ of morphisms between PSD matrices.*

Proof. Let $\phi : B \to S^m_+$ be the strongly injective map defining B. By part (1*a*) of Lemma 4.2 the induced map $\phi : \mathrm{Hom}_C(A, B) \to \mathrm{Hom}_C(A, S^m_+)$ is strongly injective. Let $\psi : A^* \to S^n_+$ be the strongly injective map defining A^*. By parts (1*a*) and (2) of Lemma 4.2 the map $\psi^* : S^n_+ \to A$ has dense image and the induced map $\psi : \mathrm{Hom}_C(A, S^m_+) \to \mathrm{Hom}_C(S^n_+, S^m_+)$ is strongly injective. Composing the maps on homs the claim follows. □

Next, we obtain a characterization of the cones of morphisms between spaces of PSD matrices. To this end we introduce the notion of "block positive-semidefiniteness", which is a generalization of positive-semidefiniteness of interest in its own right.

Definition 8. *Let V, W be vector spaces. A linear map $f : V \otimes W \to (V \otimes W)^*$ is strongly symmetric if the following equalitites hold*

$$\forall v, v' \in V, \forall w, w' \in W f(v \otimes w)(v' \otimes w') = f(v' \otimes w)(v \otimes w') = f(v \otimes w')(v' \otimes w).$$

We say that a strongly symmetric map is block-PSD if

$$\forall v \in V, \forall w \in W \big(f(v \otimes w)(v \otimes w) \geq 0\big).$$

Remark 4.6. *Every strongly symmetric map is symmetric and every PSD strongly symmetric map is block-PSD. However, as shown in Theorem 4.8 part (3.) a block-PSD map is not necessarily PSD unless V or W have dimension one.*

Remark 4.7. *Suppose that V and W are vector spaces of dimensions n and k and let $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ and $w_1, \ldots, w_k$ be bases with dual bases v_i^* and w_j^*. The matrix representing f in the basis $v_1 \otimes w_1, \ldots, v_n \otimes w_1, \ldots, v_1 \otimes w_k, \ldots, v_n \otimes w_k$ and its dual has a block matrix decomposition*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \ldots & A_{1k} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \ldots & A_{2k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ldots & \vdots \\ A_{k1} & A_{k2} & \ldots & A_{kk} \end{pmatrix}$$

The strong symmetry of f is equivalent to the fact that each of the matrices A_{ij} is symmetric and that $A_{ij} = A_{ji}$. The block-PSD condition is equivalent to the statement that for any $(b_1, \ldots, b_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ the symmetric $n \times n$ matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 I_n & b_2 I_n & \ldots & b_k I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \ldots & A_{1k} \\ A_{12} & A_{22} & \ldots & A_{2k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ldots & \vdots \\ A_{1k} & A_{2k} & \ldots & A_{kk} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 I_n \\ b_2 I_n \\ \vdots \\ b_n I_n \end{pmatrix}$$

is PSD. Carrying out the multiplication the above is equivalent to the A_{ij} satisfying the full quadratic family of matrix inequalities $\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} b_i b_j A_{ij} \succeq 0$ for all $(b_1, \ldots, b_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

Recall that for any vector space V, the symmetric maps $f : V \to V^*$ correspond to elements of $\mathrm{Sym}^2(V^*)$ via the canonical isomorphisms $\mathrm{Hom}(V, V^*) \cong V^* \otimes V^* \cong \mathrm{Sym}^2(V^*) \oplus \bigwedge^2(V^*)$. More concretely, choosing a basis $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ for V and its dual basis $x_1^*, \ldots, x_n^*$ for V^* the quadratic monomial $p = x_i^* x_j^*$ corresponds to the linear map with symmetric matrix representation A_p defined by $(A_p)_{s,t} = 1$ if $\{i, j\} = \{s, t\}$ and 0 otherwise. Under this correspondence, PSD matrices are in bijection with nonnegative quadrics. We wish to extend the previous correspondence to Hom spaces of PSD matrices. To this end we introduce the linear map μ

$$\mu : \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Sym}^2(V^*), \mathrm{Sym}^2(W^*)) \cong \mathrm{Sym}^2(V) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^2(W^*) \to \mathrm{Sym}^2(V \otimes W^*)$$

where the rightmost arrow is the canonical inclusion in the decomposition

$$\operatorname{Sym}^2(V \otimes W^*) \cong \big(\operatorname{Sym}^2(V) \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^2(W^*)\big) \oplus \big(\bigwedge^2 V \otimes \bigwedge^2 W^*\big)$$

The following Theorem gives a description of the cones of homomorphisms between PSD matrices $\operatorname{Hom}(S^n_+, S^m_+) \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Sym}^2 V^*, \operatorname{Sym}^2 W^*)$ for vector spaces V and W of dimensions n and m respectively.

Theorem 4.8. *Let n, m be positive integers.*

(1) $\operatorname{Hom}(S^n_+, S^m_+)$ *is isomorphic via μ to the collection of strongly symmetric block-PSD $nm \times nm$ matrices.*
(2) $\operatorname{Hom}(S^n_+, S^m_+) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(S^m_+, S^n_+)$ *and this cone is invariant under the action of $GL(W^*) \times GL(V)$ on* $\operatorname{Hom}(V \otimes W^*, (V \otimes W^*)^*)$.
(3) If $\min(m, n) \geq 2$ let $t := A_{x_1^2} \otimes A_{y_1^2} - \frac{1}{2} A_{x_1x_2} \otimes A_{y_1y_2} + A_{x_2^2} \otimes A_{y_2^2}$. The element t lies in the boundary of $\operatorname{Hom}(S^n_+, S^m_+)$ *and $\mu(t)$ has rank four, is strongly symmetric and Block-PSD but not PSD.*
(4) $\operatorname{Hom}(S^n_+, S^m_+)$ *contains the spectrahedral cone $S(n, m)$ of strongly symmetric PSD matrices. If $2 \leq \min(m, n)$ then $t \notin S(m, n)$ so the inclusion $S(n, m) \subseteq$* $\operatorname{Hom}(S^n_+, S^m_+)$ *is strict in general. If $n = 2$ or $m = 2$ then $S(n, m)$ and* $\operatorname{Hom}(S^n_+, S^m_+)$ *have the same maps f with rank of $\mu(f)$ at most two.*
(5) $\operatorname{Hom}(S^n_+, S^m_+)$ *is isomorphic to the set of nonnegative polynomials of bi-degree* $(2, 2)$ *in the variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_m$.*

Proof. (1.). For a quadratic monomial $p = z_i z_j$ in l variables define $A^*_p(S) := \operatorname{tr}(A_p S)$. Since $\operatorname{Hom}(S^n_+, S^m_+) \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Sym}^2 V^*, \operatorname{Sym}^2 W^*)) = \operatorname{Sym}^2 V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^2 W^*$ every element $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}(S^n_+, S^m_+)$ can be written as $\phi = \sum_{p,q} c_{p,q} A^*_p \otimes A_q$ where p and q run over all quadratic monomials in the variables $x^*_1, \ldots, x^*_n$ and $y^*_1, \ldots, y^*_m$ respectively. By linearity of ϕ and the fact that every PSD matrix is a sum with nonnegative coefficients of rank one PSD matrices, $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}(S^n_+, S^m_+)$ iff $\phi(vv^t) \in S^m_+$ for every $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. That is, iff

$$\phi(vv^t) = \sum_{p,q} c_{p,q} A^*_p \otimes A_q(vv^t) = v_1^2 M_{v_1^2} + 2v_1v_2 M_{v_1v_2} + \cdots + v_n^2 M_{v_n^2} \succeq 0$$

where $(M_{v_iv_j})_{s,t} = c_{x_ix_j, y_sy_t}$. As shown in Remark 4.7, the right hand side is PSD for all $(v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ iff the strongly symmetric $nm \times nm$ matrix with blocks $M_{v_iv_j}$, that is, the image of ϕ under μ, is block-PSD. (2.) The isomorphism follows immediately from part (5.). For $h_1 \times h_2 \in GL(W^*) \times GL(V)$ the action on $\psi : W^* \otimes V \to (W^* \otimes V)^*$ is given by $h \times k \circ (\psi)(\alpha_1 \otimes \beta_1)(\alpha_2 \otimes \beta_2) = \psi(h(\alpha_1) \otimes k(\beta_1))(h(\alpha_2) \otimes k(\beta_2))$ so it is clear that the block-PSD condition is preserved. (3.) For any $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t(vv^t) = v_2 v_2^t \succeq 0$ where v_2 is the vector whose nonzero entries are the first two entries of v so $t \in \operatorname{Hom}(S^+_n, S^+_m)$ and $\mu(t)$ is strongly symmetric and Block-PSD. On the other hand the nonzero entries of $\mu(t)$ lie in the following 4×4 principal submatrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

thus $\mu(t)$ has rank four. The upper 3×3 minor has determinant $-\frac{1}{4} < 0$ so $\mu(t)$ is not PSD. (4.) Let H be the subspace of $\mathrm{Sym}^2(V \otimes W^*)$ consisting of strongly symmetric matrices and let S_+^{mn} be the collection of PSD matrices in $\mathrm{Sym}^2(V \otimes W^*)$. Since every strongly symmetric PSD matrix is Block-PSD we see that the spectrahedron $S(m,n) := \mu^{-1}(H \cap S_+^{mn}) = \mu^{-1}(S_+^{mn}) \subseteq \mathrm{Hom}(S_+^n, S_+^m)$. If $n = 2$ and $g := \mu \circ f$ is Block-PSD then by acting with $GL(W^*) \times GL(V)$ we can assume that its block-matrix decomposition is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_{x_1^2} & C_{x_1x_2} \\ C_{x_1x_2} & C_{x_2^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $C_{x_1x_2} = diag(\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_m)$ is a diagonal matrix. Since g is block-PSD $C_{x_1^2}$ and $C_{x_2^2}$ are PSD and thus all diagonal entries of g are nonnegative as well as every 2×2 diagonal minor contained in either of these blocks. If the rank of g is at most two then g will be PSD iff the determinants of all of the remaining 2×2 diagonal subminors are nonnegative. The remaining minors are either

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_{x_1^2,y_s^2} & 0 \\ 0 & c_{x_2^2,y_t^2} \end{pmatrix} \text{ if } s \neq t \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} c_{x_1^2,y_s^2} & \eta_s \\ \eta_s & c_{x_2^2,y_s^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The first type have nonnegative determinant since the diagonal entries are nonnegative. The matrices of the second type must be PSD if g is block-PSD since this is equivalent to the nonnegativity of the m diagonal polynomials of the matrix $x_1^2 C_{x_1^2} + 2x_1x_2 C_{x_1,x_2} + x_2^2 C_{x_2^2}$. (5.) We will show in Theorem 4.13 that $(S_+^n \otimes S_+^m)^*$ is precisely the set of nonnegative polynomials of bi-degree $(2,2)$ in the variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_m$. By the adjunction between Hom and tensor from Lemma 4.9 part (4) $(S_+^n \otimes S_+^m)^*$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}(S_+^n, (S_+^m)^*)$ and the claim follows by self-duality of S_+^m. $\square$

4.2. **Tensor products.** Fix a natural number m. For $i = 1 \ldots m$ let E_i be a vector space and let $h : \prod E_i \to \bigotimes E_i$ be the multilinear map given by $h(u_1, \ldots, u_m) = u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_m$.

Definition 9. *For $i = 1 \ldots m$ let $C_i \subseteq E_i$ be ac-cones. Define the tensor product $\bigotimes C_i := \mathrm{Cone}\left(\{h(c_1, \ldots, c_m) : c_i \in C_i\}\right)$. If (C_i, π_i) (resp. (C_i, π_i, s_i)) are graded cones (resp. marked graded cones) over $\mathbb{R}_+$ then define the tensor product of graded cones (resp. marked graded cones) as $(\bigotimes C_i, \bigotimes \pi_i)$ (resp. $(\bigotimes C_i, \bigotimes \pi_i, \bigotimes s_i)$) over $\bigotimes \mathbb{R}_+ \cong \mathbb{R}_+$. Here the last isomorphism is given by product and the tensors of linear maps are the usual tensors in the category of vector spaces.*

To describe the universal properties satisfied by the tensor product it is useful to introduce the following definition

Definition 10. *A graded multi-linear map T from $(\prod C_i, \prod \pi_i)$ to a graded cone (W, π_W) is a multilinear map $T : \prod E_i \to LW$ which satisfies $T(\prod C_i) \subseteq W$ and $\pi_W(T(c_1, \dots, c_m)) = \pi_1(c_1) \dots \pi_m(c_m)$. T is marked and graded multilinear if in addition all cones are marked and we have $g(s_1(1), \dots, s_m(1)) = s_W(1)$.*

Theorem 4.9. *The following elementary properties hold:*

1. *$\bigotimes C_i$ is an ac-cone.*
2. *The tensor product is a multi-functor in the categories of ac-cones, graded cones over $\mathbb{R}_+$ and marked graded cones over $\mathbb{R}_+$.*
3. *Tensor products satisfy the following universal property: For every ac-cone W and every multilinear function $T : \prod E_i \to LW$ for which $T(C_1 \times \dots \times C_m) \subseteq W$ there is a unique morphism of cones $t : \bigotimes C_i \to W$ with $T = t \circ h$. Similarly for graded and marked graded multilinear maps of cones over $\mathbb{R}_+$.*
4. *For ac-cones C_1, C_2, C_3 tensor products and Homs satisfy the following adjunction formula, $\mathrm{Hom}_C(C_1, \mathrm{Hom}_C(C_2, C_3)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_C(C_1 \otimes C_2, C_3)$ and in particular $(C_1 \otimes C_2)^* \cong \mathrm{Hom}_C(C_1, C_2^*)$.*

Proof. (1) Since C_i is full-dimensional it contains a basis for E_i. It follows that $\bigotimes C_i \subseteq \bigotimes E_i$ is also full-dimensional. Let π_i be any grading of C_i and note that $\bigotimes C_i = \mathrm{Cone}(P)$ where $P = \{p_1 \otimes \dots \otimes p_n : p_i \in P_i\}$ and $P_i := \pi_i^{-1}(1) \cap C_i$. The set P is thus compact since it is the image of the compact set $\prod P_i$ under a continuous function and does not contain zero since $\otimes \pi_i(P) = 1$. Hence $\bigotimes C_i$ is closed. It remains to check that $(\bigotimes C_i, \bigotimes \pi_i)$ is a grading. If $\beta = \sum_j \alpha_j v_{j1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{jm} \in \bigotimes C_i$ then $\otimes \pi_i(\beta) = \sum \alpha_j \pi_1(v_{j1}) \dots \pi_m(v_{jm})$. This quantity is always nonnegative and equals zero precisely if in every summand at least one of the components (and hence the tensor it belongs to) is 0 and in this case $\beta = 0$. It follows that $\otimes \pi_i$ is a grading and thus that $\bigotimes C_i$ is an ac-cone. (2.) The fact that the tensor product respects composition of morphisms follows immediately from the multi-functoriality of tensor products in the category of vector spaces. As shown in the previous paragraph $(\bigotimes C_i, \bigotimes \pi_i)$ is a graded cone if the (C_i, π_i) are. If (C_i, π_i, s_i) are graded marked cones then the $s_i(1)$ are interior points. If $\phi : \bigotimes C_i \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a morphism with $\phi(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_m) \neq 0$ for some $v_i \in C_i$ then by composing with the morphism $j_m : C_m \to \bigotimes C_i$ defined by $w \to v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_{m-1} \otimes w$ we see that $\phi(v_1 \otimes \dots v_{m-1} \otimes s_m(1)) \neq 0$ since otherwise $\phi \circ i_m$ would map an interior point, and thus the entire cone C_m, to zero. Arguing inductively it follows that $\phi(\otimes s_i(1)) \neq 0$ and since ϕ was arbitrary $\otimes s_i(1)$ is an interior point. It follows that $(\bigotimes C_i, \bigotimes \pi_i, \bigotimes s_i)$ is a marked graded cone. (3) By the universal property of tensor products in the category of vector spaces every such T determines a linear map $t : \bigotimes E_i \to LW$ with $T = t \circ h$ thus every generator $v_1 \otimes v_m$ of the tensor product $\bigotimes C_i$, and thus the cone itself is mapped via t to W. Conversely a morphism $t : \bigotimes C_i \to W$ is the restriction of a unique linear map $t : \bigotimes E_i \to LW$ and thus defines a multilinear map $T : \prod E_i \to LW$ since $T = t \circ h$ and $t(\bigotimes C_i) \subseteq W$ it follows that $T(\prod C_i) \subseteq W$ as we wanted to show. The analogous statement relating graded multilinear maps and graded morphisms is similar. (4.) Follows immediately from part (3). $\square$

With an eye towards applications consider the following example of the usage of Theorem 4.9.

Example 4.10. *For $i = 1, 2, 3$, suppose $P_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2_{a_i,b_i}$ is a compact convex set. We want to solve the following nonlinear optimization problem:*

$$\max_{(a_i,b_i)\in P_i} a_1a_2a_3 + 2b_1a_2 + a_3.$$

To do this define $C_i = \operatorname{Cone}(\{(p_i, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^2_{a_i,b_i} \times \mathbb{R}_{z_i} : p_i \in P_i\})$ which is a graded cone over $\mathbb{R}_+$ with grading $\pi_i : C_i \to \mathbb{R}_{z_i}$ via projection to the last component. Then $\bigotimes C_i \subseteq \bigotimes_i \mathbb{R}^2_{a_i,b_i} \times \mathbb{R}_{z_i}$ is graded by $\bigotimes \pi_i$. Denoting by A_{s_1,s_2,s_3} with $s_i \in \{a_i, b_i, z_i\}$ the coordinates on the tensor product dual to the tensors of the canonical bases we have

$$\max_{(a_i,b_i)\in P_i} a_1a_2a_3 + 2b_1a_2 + a_3 = \max_{s\in C_1\otimes C_2\otimes C_3\cap\otimes\pi_i^{-1}(1)} A_{a_1a_2a_3} + 2A_{b_1a_2z_3} + A_{z_1z_2a_3}$$

So the original problem has become a linear optimization problem over the tensor product.

Remark 4.11. *The equality between the two maxima above is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.9 together with the simple equality $\bigotimes C_i \cap \otimes\pi_i^{-1}(1) = \operatorname{Conv}(\otimes q_i : q_i = (p_i, 1)$ and $p_i \in P_i)$. This equality, together with Theorem 3.2 gives a more concrete description of tensor products of compact convex sets.*

As shown in the example it is possible to linearize certain nonlinear optimization problems via tensor products. In exchange the domain of the problem has been modified and thus the usefulness of this approach is limited by whether or not we have a description of the tensor product (or of a suitably tight relaxation) as a convex set amenable to efficient computation.

The following theorem addresses this question by giving a method to reduce computation of tensor products of SDR sets to that of spectrahedra as well as a spectrahedral upper bound for tensor products of spectrahedra (tight in totally decomposable tensors). It also reduces the computation of tensor products of duals of spectrahedral cones to that of tensor products of cones of PSD matrices.

Next, suppose the C_i are spectrahedral ac-cones with defining inequalities $\psi_i : LC_i \to \operatorname{Sym}^2(V_i^*)$ so that $C_i = \{w \in LC_i : \psi(w) \succeq 0\}$.

Theorem 4.12. *The following statements hold:*

1. *If $\phi : A_i \to B_i$ are morphisms of ac-cones with dense image then $\bigotimes \phi_i : \bigotimes A_i \to \bigotimes B_i$ has dense image. In particular the tensor product of SDR ac-cones reduces to the computation of tensor products of spectrahedral ac-cones.*

(2) Let C_i be spectrahedral ac-cones with defining inequalities $\psi_i : LC_i \to \mathrm{Sym}^2(V_i^*)$ (so that $C_i = \{w \in LC_i : \psi_i(w) \succeq 0\}$)

(a) $\bigotimes C_i \subseteq T(C_1, \dots, C_m)$ where $T(C_1, \dots, C_m)$ is the spectrahedral cone defined by

$$\bigotimes \psi_i : \bigotimes LC_i \to \bigotimes \mathrm{Sym}^2(V_i^*) \to \mathrm{Sym}^2\left(\bigotimes V_i^*\right)$$

moreover, the two sets contain the same totally decomposable tensors.

(b) $\bigotimes \psi_i^* : \bigotimes S_+^{n_i} \to \bigotimes (C_i^*)$ has dense image. Thus the tensor product of duals of spectrahedra can be computed as a projection of tensor products of PSD-matrices.

Proof. (1.) If the image of $\bigotimes \phi_i$ is not dense then there exists a map $\delta : \bigotimes LC_i \to \mathbb{R}$ with $h \circ \bigotimes \phi_i$ nonnegative in $\bigotimes C_i$ and $\beta \in \bigotimes D_i$ with $\delta(\beta) < 0$. Thus there exists a decomposable tensor $\otimes d_i$ with $d_i \in D_i$ and $\delta(\otimes d_i) < 0$. However, by continuity of the universal multilinear map, the element $\otimes d_i$ is in the closure of the decomposable tensors in $\bigotimes \phi_i \circ \bigotimes C_i$ so $\delta(\otimes d_i) \geq 0$ which is a contradiction. (2a.) If $v_i \in C_i$ then $\psi_i(v_i)$ is PSD and thus it has a Cholesky factorization $g_i : V_i \to A_i$ and $\eta_i : A_i \to A_i^*$ for some vector spaces A_i with inner products η_i satisfying $\psi_i(v_i) = g_i^t \circ \eta_i \circ g_i$. Hence $\bigotimes \psi_i(\otimes v_i) = \otimes \psi(v_i) = (\otimes g_i)^t \circ \otimes \eta_i \circ \otimes g_i$ so $\bigotimes \psi_i(\otimes v_i)$ is PSD. As a result the spectrahedral cone defined by $\bigotimes \psi_i$ contains every decomposable tensor $\otimes v_i$ with $v_i \in C_i$ and this the cone $\bigotimes C_i$ these generate. Suppose $\bigotimes \psi_i(\otimes v_i)$ is PSD. If it is the zero operator then so is $\otimes v_i$ because the spectrahedra are pointed. If it is not the zero operator then for each i either $\psi_i(v_i)$ or $-\psi_i(v_i)$ are PSD. If for some α $\psi_k(v_k)(\alpha)(\alpha) > 0$, in order for $\prod_i \psi_i(v_i)(w_i)(w_i)$ to be nonnegative we need $\bigotimes_{i \neq k} \psi_i(v_i)(w_i)(w_i) \geq 0$ for every w_i for $i \neq k$ and $\psi_k(v_k)(w_k)(w_k) \geq 0$ for every w_k. Hence there is an even number of operators among the $\psi_i(v_i)$ with $-\psi(v_i)$ PSD and multiplying those v_i by -1 the tensor $\otimes v_i$ does not change and all the $\psi_i(v_i)$ become PSD. Hence $\otimes v_i \in \otimes C_i$ as claimed. (2b.) Follows from part (1.) and Lemma 4.2 part (1b.). ☐

Because of Part (2b) of Theorem 4.12 it is an important problem to describe the tensor products $\bigotimes S_+^{n_i}$ where $S_+^{n_i}$ are the cones of PSD operators in $\mathrm{Sym}^2(V_i^*)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. We have

Theorem 4.13. *The following statements hold*

(1) The cone $\bigotimes S_+^{n_i}$ is the Convex Hull of a rational variety whose projectivization is $\prod \mathbb{P}(V_i)$ embedded via the linear system $\mathcal{O}_{\prod \mathbb{P}(V_i)}(2, \dots, 2)$.

(2) The dual $(\bigotimes S_+^{n_i})^* = \mathrm{Hom}(S_+^{n_1}, \mathrm{Hom}(S_+^{n_2}, \dots, \mathrm{Hom}(S_+^{n_{m-1}}, \mathrm{Hom}(S_+^{n_m}, \mathbb{R}_+)) \dots)$ is isomorphic to the set of nonnegative polynomials of multi-degree $(2, \dots, 2)$ in the sets of variables $x_{i1}, \dots, x_{in_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. (1.) Since every PSD matrix can be written as a sum with nonnegative coefficients of rank one matrices we see that $\bigotimes S_+^{n_i}$ is the convex cone over the set of elements $\otimes v_i v_i^t$ as v_i ranges over V_i^*. Choosing bases $v_{i1}^*, \dots, v_{in_i}^*$ and writing a general element of V_i^* as $x_{i1}v_{i1}^* + \cdots + x_{in_i}v_{in_i}^*$ we see that every such tensor product can be written uniquely as a sum of terms of the form

$$x_{1a_1}x_{1b_1}x_{2a_1}x_{2a_2}\dots x_{ma_m}x_{mb_m}v_{1a_1}^*v_{1b_1}^*\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{ma_m}^*v_{mb_m}^*$$

whose coefficients in the x_{ij} are precisely the set of monomials of multidegree $(2,\dots,2)$ (m components). As a result the rational map $\prod V_i \to \bigotimes \mathrm{Sym}^2(V_i^*)$ sending the variables to all monomials of degree $(2,\dots,2)$ is dense in a rational variety whose convex hull coincides with the tensor product as claimed. (2.) The equality follows from the adjointness between Hom and Tensor from Theorem 4.9 applied $m-1$ times. If $g \in (\bigotimes S_+^{n_i})^*$ in particular $g(\otimes v_i v_i^t) \geq 0$ for every $v_i \in V_i$. Writing this inequality in coordinates as in the previous paragraph we see that every such g determines a unique multi-homogeneous polynomial of degree $(2,\dots,2)$ and the statement follows. $\square$

4.3. **Symmetric and Pure powers.** Let E be a vector space and let p be a natural number. Recall that a multilinear map $T : E^p \to E'$ is called symmetric if $T(w_1,\dots,w_p) = T(w_{\sigma(1)},\dots,T_{\sigma(p)})$ for every permutation $\sigma \in S_p$. We denote $u : E^p \to \mathrm{Sym}^p(V)$ the universal symmetric multilinear map $u(v_1,\dots,v_p) := v_1\dots v_p$ and define the pure p-th power map $\nu : E \to \mathrm{Sym}^p(E)$ by $\nu(v) = u(v,\dots,v) = v^p$.

Definition 11. *For an ac-cone $C \subseteq E$ define the p-th symmetric power* $\mathrm{Sym}^p(C) := \mathrm{Cone}\left(\{u(C \times \cdots \times C)\}\right)$ *and the p-th pure power* $C^{(p)} := \mathrm{Cone}\left(\{\nu(C)\}\right)$*. For a morphism f of cones we define the image of f under the symmetric and pure powers to be the restrictions of the linear map* $\mathrm{Sym}^p(f)$ *defined as in the category of vector spaces.*

Theorem 4.14. *The following elementary properties hold:*

1. $\mathrm{Sym}^p(C)$ *and* $C^{(p)}$ *are ac-cones.*
2. *The symmetric power is a functor in the category of ac-cones, graded cones over $\mathbb{R}_+$ and marked graded cones over $\mathbb{R}_+$.*
3. *The symmetric power satisfies the following universal property: For every cone W and every multilinear symmetric map $T : E^p \to LW$ for which $T(C\times\cdots\times C) \subseteq W$ there is a unique morphism of cones $t : \mathrm{Sym}^p(C) \to W$ with $T = t\circ u$. Similarly for graded and marked graded symmetric multilinear maps of cones over $\mathbb{R}_+$.*
4. *The pure powers are functors in the category of ac-cones.*

Proof. (1.) If C is full-dimensional it contains a basis so $\mathrm{Sym}^p(C)$ contains all its products which span $\mathrm{Sym}^p(LC)$. By convexity C also contains any linear combination $a_1e_1 + \cdots + a_me_m$ with $a_i > 0$. As a result its p-th pure power contains a linearly independent set in $\mathrm{Sym}^p(LC)$. To verify closedness assume $\pi : C \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is any grading and let $P := C \cap \pi^{-1}(1)$. Define $S(P) := \{q_1\dots q_p : q_i \in P\}$ and $P^{(p)} := \{q^p : q \in P\}$. These two sets are compact since they are the image of the compact sets $\prod P$ and P under continuous maps and do not contain 0 since the linear map $\mathrm{Sym}^p(\pi)$ maps both sets to 1. Since $\mathrm{Sym}^p(C) = \mathrm{Cone}(S(P))$ and $C^{(p)} := \mathrm{Cone}(P^p)$ these two cones are closed. Finally $\mathrm{Sym}^p(\pi)$ is a grading on $\mathrm{Sym}^p(C)$ since $\mathrm{Sym}^p(\pi)(\sum \lambda_j v_{j1}\dots v_{vp}) = 0$ with $v_{ij} \in C$ implies that each of the summands has at least one term with $\pi(v_{is_i}) = 0$ so all summands are 0. A similar agument shows that $\mathrm{Sym}^p(\pi)$ is a grading on $C^{(p)}$ showing that these cones are pointed. (2.) If $f : C \to D$ is a morphism of cones then $\mathrm{Sym}^p(C)$ is generated as a convex cone by products $v_1 \dots v_p$, $v_i \in C$ and thus $\mathrm{Sym}^p(f)(v_1 \dots v_p) = f(v_1)\dots f(v_p) \in \mathrm{Sym}^p(D)$. The compatibility with compositions is immediate from the functoriality of symmetric powers in the category of vector spaces. If (C, π, s) is a marked cone it follows that $\mathrm{Sym}^p(s) : \mathrm{Sym}^p(\mathbb{R}_+) \to \mathrm{Sym}^p(C)$ is a marking since it is the image of the marking $\bigotimes s : \mathbb{R}_+^{\otimes p} \to C^{\otimes p}$ under the surjective product map. (3.) Follows from the universal property of symmetric products in the category of vector spaces. (4.) If $f : C \to D$ is a morphism of cones then $C^{(p)}$ is generated by products v^p and thus $\mathrm{Sym}^p(f)(v^p) = (f(v))^p \in D^{(p)}$. As a result $\mathrm{Sym}^p(f)$ is a morphism between the pure powers of C and D. Compatibility with compositions comes from the functoriality of symmetric powers on vector spaces. $\square$

With an eye towards applications in optimization we next describe the symmetric and pure powers of compact convex sets, via the equivalence in Theorem 3.2.

Definition 12. *For a compact convex set $P \subseteq V$ define $E := V \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and let $\pi : E \to \mathbb{R}$ be the projection onto the last component. Define the graded cone $(C(P), \pi)$ as $C(P) := \mathrm{Cone}(\{(p, 1) : p \in P\})$. For an integer p let $\phi := \mathrm{Sym}^p(\pi)$ and define, via the equivalence in Theorem 3.2, the symmetric and pure powers of P as $\mathrm{Sym}^p(P) := \mathrm{Sym}^p(C(P)) \cap \phi^{-1}(1)$ and $P^{(n)} := C(P)^{(n)} \cap \phi^{-1}(1)$.*

Theorem 4.15. *The following statements hold:*

(1) $\mathrm{Sym}^p(P) = \mathrm{Conv}(\{r_1 \dots r_p : r_i = (p_i, 1), p_i \in P\}) \subseteq \mathrm{Sym}^p(E)$. *This set is isomorphic to* $\mathrm{Conv}\big(\{(s_{11}\dots s_{1p}, s_{21}\dots s_{2,p-1}, \dots, s_{p-1,1}, 1) : s_{ij} \in P\}\big) \subseteq \bigoplus_{j=0}^{p} \mathrm{Sym}^{p-j}(V)$.

(2) Let $F : V^p \to W$ be a symmetric polynomial map whose components have monomials of multidegree $\leq (1, \dots, 1)$ componentwise. Then there is a linear map $L_F : \mathrm{Sym}^p(E) \to W$ with the property that

$$\mathrm{Conv}(\{F(a) : a \in P^p\}) = L_F(\mathrm{Sym}^p(P)).$$

In particular If F is a symmetric polynomial satisfying the above conditions then $\max_{a \in P^p} F(a) = \max_{b \in \mathrm{Sym}^p(P)} L_F(b)$.

(3) $P^{(p)} = \mathrm{Conv}(\{r^p : r = (p, 1), p \in P\})$. *This set is isomorphic to*

$$\mathrm{Conv}\big(\big\{\big(s^p, \binom{p}{1} s^{p-1}, \dots, \binom{p}{p-1} s, 1\big) : s \in P\big\}\big) \subseteq \bigoplus_{j=0}^{p} \mathrm{Sym}^{p-j}(V).$$

(4) Let $F : V \to W$ be a polynomial map whose components have degree $\leq n$. There is a linear map $L_F : \mathrm{Sym}^p(E) \to W$ with the property that

$$\mathrm{Conv}(\{F(a) : a \in P\}) = L_F(P^{(p)}).$$

In particular if F is a polynomial of degree $\leq p$ then $\max_{a\in P} F(a) = \max_{b\in P^{(p)}} L_F(b)$.

Proof. (1.) We want to show that $\mathrm{Sym}^p(C(P)) \cap \phi^{-1}(1) = \mathrm{Conv}(\{r_1 \dots r_p : r_i = (p_i, 1), p_i \in P\})$. Since each r_j satisfies $\pi(r_j) = 1$ then $\phi(r_1 \dots r_p) = 1$. By convexity of the left hand side we see that the inclusion $\supseteq$ holds. For the converse suppose $\gamma := \sum \lambda_i \beta_i \in \mathrm{Sym}^p(C(P))$ with $\beta_i = v_{i1} \dots v_{ip}$ and $v_{ij} \in C(P)$. Since $\phi(\gamma) = \sum \lambda_i$ then $\phi(\gamma) = 1$ implies that γ belongs to the right hand side as claimed. Carrying out the product in $\mathrm{Sym}^p(V \oplus \mathbb{R}) \cong \bigoplus(\mathrm{Sym}^{p-j}(V) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^j(\mathbb{R})) \cong \bigoplus \mathrm{Sym}^{p-j}(V)$ we obtain the second equality. (2.) The graded components of this symmetric polynomial determine an element of $\mathrm{Hom}(\bigoplus_{j=0}^p \mathrm{Sym}^{p-j}(V), W)$ which can be homogenized to an element of $\mathrm{Hom}(\bigoplus_{j=0}^p \mathrm{Sym}^{p-j}(V) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^j(\mathbb{R}), W) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Sym}^p(E), W)$. Let L_F be the corresponding element and note that by construction $F(r_1, \dots, r_p) = L_F((r_1, 1) \dots (r_p, 1))$ for $r_i \in P$ and the claim follows. (3.) and (4.) are similar to (1.) and (2.). $\square$

Next we address the problem of computing symmetric and pure powers,

Theorem 4.16. *Let C and D be cones,*

1. *If $f : C \to D$ is a morphism with dense image (resp. surjective) then $\mathrm{Sym}^p(f) : \mathrm{Sym}^p(C) \to \mathrm{Sym}^p(D)$ has dense image (resp. is surjective).*
2. *The cone $\mathrm{Hom}_C(\mathrm{Sym}^p(C), D)$ is isomorphic to the intersection of the linear subspace of symmetric operators and the cone $\mathrm{Hom}_C(C, \mathrm{Hom}(C, \dots, \mathrm{Hom}(C, D)) \dots)$. In particular $\mathrm{Sym}^2(C)^*$ is the set of symmetric maps in $\mathrm{Hom}_C(C, C^*)$.*

Proof. (1.) by continuity of the product the set of elements of the form $f(c_1) \dots f(c_p)$ with $c_i \in C$ is dense in (resp. equals) the set of products $d_1 \dots d_p$ with $d_i \in D$. (2.) By Theorem 4.14 part (3.) the elements of $\mathrm{Hom}_C(\mathrm{Sym}^p(C), D)$ correspond to symmetric multilinear maps $T : C^p \to D$, that is symmetric elements of the cone $\mathrm{Hom}(C^{\otimes p}, D) = \mathrm{Hom}_C(C, \mathrm{Hom}(C, \dots, \mathrm{Hom}(C, D)) \dots)$ the right hand side is a cone in the vector space $\mathrm{Hom}(LC(\mathrm{Hom}(LC, \dots, \mathrm{Hom}(LC, LD) \dots)$. Since the collection of symmetric operators in this vector space (i.e. those maps satisfying $f(c_1) \dots (c_p) = f(c_{\sigma(1)}) \dots (c_{\sigma(p)})$ for every $\sigma \in S_p$) form a linear subspace the claim holds. $\square$

If the cones under consideration have more structure we can be more explicit,

Theorem 4.17. *The following statements hold,*

1. *If H is a polyhedral ac-cone then its symmetric powers are polyhedral and the extremal rays of $\mathrm{Sym}^p(H)$ are precisely the products $v_1 \dots v_p$ for v_i in the extremal rays of H.*
2. *If C is a pointed spectrahedral cone with defining inequalities $\psi : LC \to \mathrm{Sym}^2(W^*)$ then:*
   - (a) *There is a canonical SDR relaxation $\mathrm{Sym}^p(C) \subseteq u(T(C, \dots, C))$ where T is as in Theorem 4.12 part (2a.).*
   - (b) *$\mathrm{Sym}^p(\psi)$ determines a map $\overline{\psi} : \mathrm{Sym}^p(LC) \to \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathrm{Sym}^p(W^*))$. This map defines a canonical spectrahedral relaxation $S^p(C) \supseteq \mathrm{Sym}^p(C)$.*

(c) Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the cone of $\mathrm{Sym}^p(LC)$ *spanned by the variety of pure powers. Then the inclusion $C^{(n)} \subseteq S(C) \cap \mathcal{P}$ holds. Moreover, if p is odd both sides contain the same pure powers.*

Proof. (1.) If $H = \mathrm{Cone}(\{v_1, \ldots, v_m\})$ then every element of H is a combination with nonnegative coefficients of the v_i. As a result every element of $\mathrm{Sym}^p(H)$ is a linear combination of the finitely many products $v_{i_1} \ldots v_{ip}$ with $i_j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and thus $\mathrm{Sym}^p(H)$ is a polyhedral cone. It follows immediately that every extremal ray of $\mathrm{Sym}^p(H)$ must be generated by a product of the extremal rays of H. For the converse assume that H is graded by π, that the v_i are generators of the extremal rays of H and that $\phi_j \in H^*$ is a witness for the extremality of v_j (i.e. $\ker(\phi_j) \cap H = \mathbb{R}_+ v_j$). Define $\psi_{j,k}$ to be the symmetrization of the functional $\phi_j^{\otimes n-k} \otimes \pi^{\otimes k}$ and note that $\psi_{j,k}$ is nonnegative on $\mathrm{Sym}^p(H)$ and that its kernel is the cone spanned by the products $v_{i_1} \ldots v_{i_p}$ in which v_j appears at least $k+1$-times. As a result every product $v_1^{a_1} \ldots v_n^{a_n}$ where the $v_i's$ are extremal rays and $\sum a_i = p$ of extremal rays is an extremal ray since it spans the intersection of $\mathrm{Sym}^p(H)$ and the kernel of the nonnegative functional $\sum_{i=1}^n \psi_{i,a_i-1}$. (2a.) Follows from Theorem 4.16 part (1.) and Theorem 4.12 part (2a.) (2b) As shown in Theorem 4.12 part (2a.) for $i = 1, \ldots, p$ and any $v_i \in C$ the map $\psi(v_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \psi(v_p)$ is PSD and thus the sum $\overline{\psi}(v_1 \ldots v_n) = \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} \psi(v_{\sigma(1)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \psi(v_{\sigma(p)}) : W^{\otimes p} \to W^{*\otimes p}$ determined by $\mathrm{Sym}^p(\psi)(v_1 \ldots v_n)$ is PSD. First we will show that $\overline{\psi}(v_1 \ldots v_n)$ maps $\mathrm{Sym}^p(W) \to \mathrm{Sym}^p(W^*) \cong \mathrm{Sym}^p(W)^*$ so the restriction of $\overline{\psi}(v_1, \ldots, v_p)$ to $\mathrm{Sym}^p(W)$, being a block diagonal of $\overline{\psi}$ is symmetric and PSD. Recall that there is a canonical map $\mathrm{Sym}^p(W) \to W^{\otimes p}$ given by $h(w_1 \ldots w_p) \to \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} w_{\sigma(1)} \ldots w_{\sigma(p)}$. Now

$$\overline{\psi}(v_1 \ldots v_n) \circ h(w) = \frac{1}{p!^2} \sum_{(\sigma,\tau) \in S_p^2} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{p} \psi(v_{\sigma(i)})(w_{\tau(i)})$$

and the latter is an element of the image of $\mathrm{Sym}^p(W^*)$ in $(W^*)^{\otimes p}$ because it is left invariant when i is replaced by $\eta(i)$ for any permutation $\eta \in S_p$. Thus the spectrahedral cone $S^p(C) := \{\alpha \in \mathrm{Sym}^p(LC) : \overline{\psi}(\alpha) \succeq 0\}$ contains the products $v_1 \ldots v_p$ for $v_i \in C$ and hence $S^p(C) \supseteq \mathrm{Sym}^p(C)$ as claimed. (2c) The inclusion follows from (2b) and the fact that the p-th pure power of a convex cone is spanned by pure powers. If $u^p \in S(C)$ then for every $w \in W$ we have $0 \leq \mathrm{Sym}^p(\psi)(u^p)(w^p)(w^p) = \big(\psi(u)(w)(w)\big)^p$ so for odd p $\psi(u)(w)(w)$ is PSD and $u \in C^{(p)}$ as claimed. $\square$

4.4. **Schur functors on compact convex sets.** In this section we use the preliminaries from Section 2.2 and the references therein and define Schur functor operations on compact convex sets.

Let n, m be natural numbers and let V be an m-dimensional vector space. For a partition λ of the integer n let $h_\lambda : V^{\oplus n} \to \mathbb{S}_\lambda(V)$ be the universal multilinear map which satisfies the λ-exchange axioms sending $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ to the class of the tableau filled with the elements v_i (in order, left to right and top to bottom).

Definition 13. *Let $P \subseteq V$ be a compact convex set containing* 0*. We define* $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(P) := \operatorname{Conv}\big(h(P \times P \cdots \times P)\big) \subseteq \mathbb{S}_\lambda(V)$*. For a morphism $T : P \to Q$ of compact convex sets let $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(T)$ be as in the category of vector spaces.*

With the above definition every Schur functor is a linearization functor on compact convex sets, more concretely

Theorem 4.18. *The following statements hold*

- *(1) $\mathbb{S}_\lambda$ is a functor in the category of compact convex sets containing the origin.*
- *(2) Let W be any compact convex set and let $T : P^{\oplus n} \to W$ be any multilinear map satisfying the λ-exchange axioms. There is a unique morphism of compact convex sets $t : \mathbb{S}_\lambda(P) \to W$ satisfying $t \circ h_\lambda = T$.*

Proof. (1.) It suffices to verify that if $f : P_1 \to P_2$ is a morphism then $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(f)$ maps $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(P_1)$ to $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(P_2)$. Now, $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(f)$ maps the class of a tableau $[v_1, \dots, v_n]$ of shape λ with entries in P_1 to the class of the tableau $[f(v_1), \dots, f(v_n)]$ which is an element of $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(P_2)$ as claimed since $f(v_i) \in P_2$ for every i. (2.) Follows from the analogous universal property for Schur functors on vector spaces. ☐

In addition Schur convex sets are highly symmetric,

Lemma 4.19. *The following statements hold for every compact convex set P:*

- *(1) $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(P)$ is a compact convex set with $\dim(\mathbb{S}_\lambda(P))$ is the number of semistandard tableaux of shape λ with entries in $\{1, \dots, \dim(V)\}$.*
- *(2) The group Aut(P) acts on $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(P)$.*
- *(3) If λ has more than one row then $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(P)$ is symmetric around the origin.*

Proof. (1.) The image of $P \times \cdots \times P$ under the continuous map h_λ is a compact set and thus so is its convex hull $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(P)$. The statement about dimension follows from the fact that $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(P)$ is because P contains a basis for V. (2.) Follows immediately from the functoriality of $\mathbb{S}_\lambda$ applied to morphisms $f : P \to P$ in $\operatorname{Aut}(P)$. (3.) If λ has more than one row then the set of classes of tableaux $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ with $v_i \in P$ is closed under multiplication by (-1) because the negative of a tableau can be obtained by exchanging two entries in the same column. Since this property extends to the convex closure the claim follows. ☐

Remark 4.20. *Every Schur functor determines a Schur functor on ac-cones in a manner analogous to that of the symmetric power. However, because of Lemma 4.19.3 this construction is not interesting since it leads to linear subspaces except for symmetric powers. However our definition of Schur functors on compact convex sets gives functors on marked graded cones over $\mathbb{R}_+$ via Theorem 3.2.*

Example 4.21. *One may ask whether the dependence on the relative location of the origin is nontrivial. This example shows that this is indeed the case even for polytopes. Let* C_1 *be the cube in* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *with vertices* $(\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1)$ *and let* C_2 *be the affinely isomorphic cube with vertices* (a_1, a_2, a_3) *for* $a_i \in \{0, 2\}$*. The Schur polytopes* $\bigwedge^2 P_1$ *and* $\bigwedge^2 P_2$ *in* $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^3 \cong \mathbb{R}^3$ *are not affinely isomorphic and in fact are not even combinatorially isomorphic. Their* f*-vectors are* $(12, 21, 11, 1)$ *and* $(12, 25, 14, 1)$ *as can be seen from direct computation.*

Via the equivalence in Theorem 3.2, Schur functors on compact convex sets define Schur functors in the category of marked graded cones over $\mathbb{R}_+$. However, the defining inequalities of these cones are no longer morphisms in the category. As a result the effective computation of Schur functors is a difficult problem because we lack natural candidates for canonical relaxations. We believe that this is a problem of much interest even for polytopes.

REFERENCES

[1] Bogart T., Contois M., Gubeladze J.:*Hom-Polytopes*, arXiv:1111.3880v2

[2] Fulton W.: *Young Tableaux*, Cambridge University Press, 1997.

[3] Helton W., Nie J.: *Semidefinite representation of convex sets.*, Math. Program. 122 (2010), no. 1, Ser. A,

[4] Nesterov Y., Nemirovski A.: *Interior-point polynomial algorithms in convex programming*, SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics vol. 13, Philadelphia, PA, 1994.

[5] Ramana M., Goldman A.: *Some geometric results in semidefinite programming*, J. Global Optim., 7(1), 33-50, 1995.

[6] Ziegler G.: *Lectures on Polytopes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 152. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. x+370

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE LOS ANDES, CARRERA $1^{\mathrm{ra}}\#18A - 12$, BOGOTÁ, COLOMBIA

E-mail address: `mvelasco@uniandes.edu.co`